

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION 1		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,764		08/09/2001	Dusan Miljkovic	700.15-US1	5911
34284	7590	08/10/2004		EXAMINER	
	T D. FISH & TUCKER	TIP	LEWIS, PATRICK T		
		14TH FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
COSTA	COSTA MESA, CA 92626-1931			1623	
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/927,764	MILJKOVIC, DUSAN					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Patrick T. Lewis	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the							
application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly							
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: None.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-30</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s):							
10 D Other:							
/ JAMES O. WILSON							
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The compositions of Miljkovic are rubbed onto the skin several times per day (column 5, lines 19-28) to reduce skin wrinkles (column 2, lines 37-42). The co-extensive patient population, identical compositions employed and the similar end uses envisioned indicate that the methods of Miljkovic are necessarily present as the compositions would be expected to exhibit similar properties. Although Miljkovic does not disclose the collagenase activity of the boron-containing compounds, this is a quantification of an inherent property of the boron-containing compounds. A reference anticipates a claim of a patent if the reference contains adequate directions for the practice of the invention claimed. See Dewey & Almy Chemical Co., et al. v. Mimex Co., Inc. 124 F.2d 986, 52 USPQ 138 (2d Cir. 1942). In construing the process claims in suit and the references, it is an identity of manipulative operations which leads to a finding of anticipation. It is settled that the scientific explanation for an invention is unimportant in considering patentability. De Forest Radio Co. v. General Electric Co., 283 U.S. 664, 686, 9 USPQ 297, 304 (1931). More specifically, in order to anticipate a claimed process, a reference need not disclose the scientific effects which are inherent to the process. See Templeton Patents, Ltd. V. J.R. Simplot Co., 336 F.2d 261, 142 USPQ 428 (9th Cir. 1964). See also Nossen et al. v. United States, 152 USPQ 619 (US CICt 1967).